DETERMINATION AND FINDINGS FOR SINGLE AVAILABLE SOURCE POCUREMENT

Agency: Child and Family Services Agency

Caption: Community Based Child Welfare Services
Contractor: Collaborative Solutions for Communities

Contract Number: DCRL-2016-R-0001 Expiration: September 30, 2016

FINDINGS

1. AUTHORIZATION:

D.C. Official Code §2-354.04, 27 DCMR 1700 and 1701.

2. MINIMUM NEED:

The Government of the District of Columbia, Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA), has the following minimum need:

- (a) CFSA has a need for the continued development and implementation of a community-based child welfare service delivery network located in Wards 1 and 2 in the District and to work in tandem with CFSA by providing community-based, preventative and supportive aftercare and related child welfare services to District of Columbia residents, including wards of the District and their families, and families in crisis who are in danger of entering into the child welfare system.
- (b) The Collaborative listed above is currently providing child welfare services in Wards 1 and 2 neighborhoods, and has existing delivery systems in place to provide services. These systems were developed over many years with close coordination with the Human Services Committee of the Council of the District of Columbia (DC Council).
- (c) The Agency has a need for Community-Based child welfare services for children and families in order to maintain compliance with the <u>LaShawn A. v. Bowser</u> Implementation and Exit Plan (IEP), and to prevent a disruption of services throughout the District.

3. ESTIMATED FAIR AND REASONABLE COST:

The estimated reasonable cost for providing community-based child welfare services, by the Collaborative listed above is one million, seven hundred thirteen thousand, two hundred eighty dollars and zero cents (\$1,713,280.00).

4. FACTS WHICH JUSTIFY A SINGLE AVAILABLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT:

The proposed contractor is the only entity capable of meeting the District's minimum need during the proposed period of performance. First, CFSA, as part of the ongoing *LaShawn A. v. Bowser* federal litigation, is required to utilize community based child welfare services. *LaShawn A. v. Barry*—a class action lawsuit that was brought against the District on behalf of abused and

neglected children in 1989—resulted in a Court Order requiring the city to improve its services to abused and neglected children and their families; the Order includes a requirement to develop neighborhood-based services. A market survey was not conducted as the contractor is the only neighborhood-based organization, in this area, that can provide the needed services. Second, the proposed contractor is currently contracted with the District of Columbia to provide community based child welfare services. Third, the proposed contractor has experience providing said services on behalf of CFSA and in Wards 1 and 2 of the District of Columbia. Fourth, the proposed contractor is based in the District of Columbia and has a Board of Directors composed of constituents of the wards in which it is located. Finally, the proposed contractor has demonstrated sufficient staffing and the financial soundness over the last few years, which is necessary to provide said services.

5. CERTIFICATION BY AGENCY HEAD:

	Date	Raymond Davidson Acting Director Child and Family Services Agency
6.	CERTIFICATION BY CONTRACTING OFFICER:	
	Based on the above findings and in accordance with the cited authority, I hereby determine that it is not feasible or practical to invoke the competitive bidding process under Section 402 of the Procurement Practices Reform Act of 2010, effective April 8, 2011 (D.C. Law 18-371; DC Official Code §2-354.04). I further find that the contractor listed above is the only available source that can meet the District's minimum needs. Accordingly, I determine that the District is justified in using the sole source method of procurement.	
	Date	Tara Sigamoni Agency Chief Contracting Officer
	<u>I</u>	<u>DETERMINATION</u>
feas Prac § 2- Dist	ible or practical to invoke the competitetices Reform Act of 2010, effective Ap 354.04). I further find that the contractor	ance with the cited authority, I hereby determine that it is not ive bidding process under Section 402 of the Procurement oril 8, 2011 (D.C. Law 18-371; D.C. Official Code or listed above is the only available source that can meet the determine that the District is justified in using the sole source
	a Sigamoni	Date